

Document partially auto-translated



THE COMPETENT SPORTING COURT OF APPEAL

FOR COMPLAINTS OF THE SUBJECT SERIES

chaired and represented in the person of dr. Riccardo Pontremolesi in monochrome form, at the outcome of the decision pronounced the following

JUDGMENT

on the appeal (N. 4 rr) proposed by: Raoul Baldan, superlicenza n. 85 activated from 02/09/2021, presented on 17/10/2022 at 19:13

in relation to the race of 10 October 2022 in Jeddah (Saudi Arabia) - edition 9, about decision no. 4 of the stewards

FACT

on 11 October 2022 at 20:22 the stewards on duty for the GP of Jeddah (Saudi Arabia), 2nd event valid for the 9th edition of the ATOM F1 Codemasters Series championship - in the people of Pierlugi Trani, Gianluca Fontana, Marco Fara, Brendan Cahill -, inflicted (pursuant to article 32.2.3 rs) with their decision no. 4 a penalty of 5 seconds on the final race time together with the credit of 2 *penalty points* on the super license of the conductor Raoul Baldan. As a motivation, they explained that the driver (on lap 23, turn 1) would not have braked in a reasonable time and would have caused an accident.

On 17 October 2022 at 7:13 pm, the alleged manager presented a complaint, although not in the manner provided for by art. 32.8, arguing that he would not have been able to brake in time due to a rear-end collision that would have compromised his ability to brake. The Clerk of the Course thus sent the material to this court.

LAW

The appeal was presented within 7 days from the date of issue of the document (see motivation of the penalty). The RD of this event Giovanni Rizza, having considered the appeal well founded, proceeded to transmit to the court of appeal, without delay, the material necessary for the analysis (see transmission of 18/10/2022 at 11:22). Given the nature of the event, the court considered the monochromatic composition to be sufficient.

In preliminary form, it is not considered necessary to contest the incorrect complaint method (see 32.8 sporting regulations), which in any case has been presented in full, evidently with the first means available to the tenant: therefore it is considered equally valid (cf. answer no. 9 of the form "Reports Jeddah").

On the basis of the foregoing, as well as from the source of evidence presented by Raoul Baldan himself attached to the appeal, this court considers it necessary to observe that no erroneous conduct on the part of Baldan emerges, but instead - due to a driving error - he it was quite evidently buffered (see "youtube" video evidence attached to answer n. 9 of the "Reports Jeddah" form). Locking the front wheels inevitably led to the most obvious impact.

In the review of the footage, the penalty was free of negligence on the analysis of the matter. The stewards did not have any evidence of the rear-end collision and therefore could not exclude the responsibility of the applicant, who was thus penalized under Articles 32.1, 32.2 and 32.5 of reg. ATOM sportsman. The findings made here were legitimately sufficient to delineate the fault, and for this reason measures to be taken against the stewards are excluded.

It is not considered necessary to proceed against the person who caused the first collision (rear-end collision) because the fact is particularly tenuous (violations of sub. Art. 17 are excluded) (see other decisions taken for this event, as well as the "nothing fact "for various responses to the form above) and, even if it were, the possible punishment does not fall within the cases provided for by art. 32.2.1 et seq ..

However, this judgment recognizes responsibility for the accident on the car that hit Baldan, excluding the latter from the fault of causing a collision.

HENCE The judge

WELCOMES Raoul Baldan's complaint;

Revokes the contested measures: 5 second penalty and 2 super license points;

read the art. 32.8 rs ATOM, orders:

restitution of score in the standings (10 points) if not already performed;

immediate review of the rankings (time gaps) of the event;

cancellation of the measures from the competition notice board of the event;

translation of this provision into English by the stewards for publication on the match notice board within 10 days;

extension and the report of the decision to the Clerk of the Course for delivery to the interested party within 7 days.

Not appealable judgement as of the ATOM sporting regulations state.

So decided in videoconference, on 10/18/2022.

signed

by the Judge

Dr. RICCARDO PONTREMOLESI

the Rapporteur

GIOVANNI RIZZA